APPENDIX I.

[Vide answer to question No. 1471 asked by Mr. J. A. Saldanha at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 26th February 1929, page 122 supra.]

G.O. Mis. No. 2044, Revenue, 3rd September 1928.

READ—the following papers :—

Memorial from the Honorary Secretary, Kanara Landholders' Association.
From the Director of Survey, dated 31st May 1928, R.O.C. No. 306-R/F.
From the Board of Revenue, dated 25th July 1928, Ref. No. 1922/28-4.

Order—No. 2044, *Revenue, dated 3rd September* 1928.

Miscellaneous

The Honorary Secretary, Kanara Landholders' Association, has submitted on behalf of the Association a memorial setting forth certain complaints in regard to the resurvey of the old Kasaragod taluk, South Kanara district. The Government have carefully considered the representations made in the memorial and the remarks of the Director of Survey and the Board of Revenue thereon. They now proceed to pass orders on the several points raised in the memorial.

(*a*) *Inclusion of channel bunds in porambokes.*—The Director of Survey has reported that the channel bunds are substantial structures and that they have been constructed by the registered holders themselves to protect the fields from erosion and damage by the floods and that the trees standing on the bunds have been planted by the ryots. The Collector of South Kanara has also reported that the ryots have been enjoying most of these bunds in the past and that the channels referred to are of little direct interest to the Government. The survey of such bunds as part of the channels was obviously erroneous. The Board of Revenue in its reference of 28th June has recommended that in cases where the area concerned is small, the ryots should be permitted to enjoy the bunds by written orders in each case, and that in cases where the area concerned is fairly large, the demarcation should be done afresh. The Government do not consider that the extra assessment realizable by fresh demarcation would be so considerable as to justify the expenditure and trouble involved. Nor do they consider it necessary to adopt the suggestion of the Director of Survey that the area occupied by the bunds should be roughly calculated and added to the holding. They therefore direct that in all cases except cases where there ar

26th February 1929]

on the bunds trees held subject to payment of tree tax, the ryots should be given a certificate in the form suggested by the Director of Survey in paragraph 1 (*a*) of his R.C. No. 310, dated 31st May 1928.

(*b*) *Levy of back assessment and market value on encroachments.*—The Director of Survey has reported that it is not possible to differentiate between cases of real encroachments and cases of defective demarcation at the original survey. Government consider that in such circumstances the excess areas found at the resurvey cannot be treated as encroachments at all. The Board of Revenue is requested to issue instructions to the Special Settlement Officer not to levy back assessment nor to collect the value of the land in such cases. The amounts (if any) already collected on that account should be refunded.

(*c*) *Issue of joint pattas.*—The Director of Survey has reported that the holdings have been clubbed in some cases owing to the failure of the ryots to put up bunds or other marks defining the limits of their holdings. He has proposed to depute a special staff of surveyors to separate clubbed holdings, wherever possible. The Board of Revenue has suggested that the Collector should be asked to make it widely known that if the ryots wish to avoid the clubbing of holdings they should put up ridges or other distinguishing marks before this supplemental survey is done. The Government approve these proposals.

(*d*) *Wrong demarcation.*—The Director of Survey has stated that the principle of equalization of bunds has been applied even in cases where substantial walls have been built around holdings and that demarcation stones have in some cases been planted within the compound walls thereby excluding portions of the walls from the properties of which they form part. He has proposed to depute a special staff of surveyors to set right the demarcation in such cases. The Government agree with the Board of Revenue that the principle of equalization of bends should not have been so rigidly applied. They direct that the demarcation should be revised and set right and the operations regularized wherever necessary by formal notifications under the Madras Survey and Boundaries Act.

(*e*) The other points set forth in the memorandum are adequately noticed by the Director of Survey and the Board of Revenue and call for no orders of Government.

2. In regard to the revision of demarcation ordered in paragraph 1 (*d*), the Government direct that if it is found necessary to move survey stones from their present position and plant them elsewhere, the cost of the labour employed should be borne by the Government

[26th February 1929

and that if any additional stones have to be planted, the cost of those stones and of the labour employed in connexion therewith should be recovered from the ryots in accordance with the rules issued under the Survey and Boundaries Act.

(By order of the Governor in Council)

D. N. STRATHIE,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, Director of Survey.
,, Honorary Secretary, Kanara Landholders' Association, Mangalore.

G.O. Mis. No. 173, **Revenue, dated** 25th January 1929.

READ—the following papers:—

G.O. No. 2044,	Revenue,	dated	3rd	September	1928.
,, 2326	,,	,,	4th	October	,,
,, 2539	,,	,,	29th	,,	,,
,, 2555	,,	,,	30th	,,	,,

From M.R.Ry. K. R. KARANT Avargal, B.A., LL.B., M.L.C., dated 21st December 1928.

Reference from the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue and Settlement), No. G. 133/29-1, dated 10th January 1929.

Order—Mis. No. 173, *Revenue, dated 25th January* 1929.

Miscellaneous.

In paragraph 1 (*b*) of G.O. No. 2044, Revenue, dated 3rd September 1928, the Government stated that, as it was not possible in the South Kanara district to differentiate between cases of real encroachments and cases of defective demarcation at the original survey, the excess areas found at the resurvey should not be treated as encroachment at all and requested the Board to issue instructions that neither back assessment nor the value of the land should be collected in such cases.

In G.O. No. 2326, Revenue, dated 4th October 1928, the Government explained that the excess areas found at the resurvey should not be treated as encroachments merely on the basis of a comparison of the old survey and the resurvey records, but that, where there were other records or satisfactory evidence proving the encroachment, the case should be treated as different and the orders in question should not be applied.

26th February 1929]

In G.O. No. 2539, Revenue, dated 29th October 1928, the Government ordered that in South Kanara district porambokes measured according to the state of the ground at the survey should be taken as correct by the Settlement Party, unless it was found by the Settlement Officer on a representation from the villagers that the utility of the poramboke had been prejudiced by encroachments. In cases where such representations were made, proof of the alleged encroachment should be sought in the previous survey measurement if these could be reproduced on the ground. If no proof was forthcoming the poramboke should be deemed to have been correctly surveyed at the resurvey.

In G.O. No. 2555, Revenue, dated 30th October 1928, the Government stated that their orders in paragraph 1 (*b*) of G.O. No. 2044, Revenue, dated 3rd September 1928, regarding the levy of back assessment and the value of the land did not apply to encroachments on accretions and formations in rivers in South Kanara district.

2. The Government observe that there has been some misapprehension as to the general effect and intention of the above orders, and on a review of the whole situation they have decided to issue the following further orders:—

(*a*) The orders conveyed in G.O. No. 2326, Revenue, dated 4th October 1928, are not to be interpreted to mean that when, as the result merely of a comparison of the old and new survey, occupation is found to amount to an encroachment, evidence should then be sought from other sources to show that the occupation is in fact an encroachment. On the contrary, not only should a mere comparison of the two surveys not be made the basis of these charges but no attempt should be made to treat as an encroachment any occupation of land at the disposal of Government unless the occupation is the subject of public representation (e.g., by the ryots in general or local bodies) and is found definitely to prejudice the utility of the poramboke. For the purpose of establishing the fact of such an encroachment a comparison of the old and new survey may of course be used as evidence.

(*b*) The position of river accretions is somewhat different and it was in this view that the orders in G.O. No. 2555, Revenue, dated 30th October 1928, were issued. On further consideration however the Government are of opinion that those orders went too far and that there is force in the suggestion that for the levy of back assessment some attempt should be made to balance the gains and losses sustained by the owners of lands which by the processes of nature are subject to constant alluvion and diluvion. They consider therefore that it will be reasonable, in the special circumstances

of these lands, while maintaining the principle that assessment for past years of enjoyment is due, to limit the charge on this account to ten years' assessment and further to permit the Special Settlement Officer to waive even this charge when, and to the extent that, the land gained by accretion is balanced by land lost by erosion in the same holding. No payment (other than back assessment) will in any case be demanded on account of the assignment of an encroachment on an accretion.

3. The foregoing orders relate primarily to areas that have been, are being, or are about to be, resurveyed but should be applied *mutatis mutandis* to other areas. That is to say, when in the course of 'supplemental survey' it is found necessary to re-lay the boundary of poramboke or assessed waste land, the principles described in paragraph 2 above should be followed. In regard to boundaries of this class which are not affected by the 'supplemental survey,' the Special Settlement Officer should make no alterations unless representations are received from the ryots or from local bodies. In dealing with such representations the Special Settlement Officer should follow the principles laid down in paragraph 2 above. Alleged encroachments which thus escape the notice of the Settlement Officer will be dealt with in the usual course by the Revenue authorities. The Special Settlement Officer however should be instructed to obtain from the taluk offices as many B and A memorandums as possible and deal with them.

4. Orders will issue separately on the question of the separate demarcation and registration of the lands held under mulgeni tenure.

(By order of the Governor in Council)

H. R. Pate,
Secretary to Government.

To M.R.Ry. K. R. Karant Avargal, B.A., LL.B., M.L.C.
,, the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue and Settlement).
,, M. E. Couchman, Esq., C.S.I., I.C.S. (retired).

26th February 1929]

Appendix II.

[Vide answer to question No. 1476 asked by Mr. Basheer Ahmad Sayeed, at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 26th February 1929, page 124 supra.]

Statement showing particulars of prosecutions launched by the Police on information received from Inspectors of Motor Vehicles.

District.	Number of prosecutions.	Prosecutions for overloading and mechanical defects.	Prosecutions for breach of other rules, etc.	Prosecutions resulting in convictions.	Income from fines.
					RS.
Ganjam	..	..	..	..	..
Nellore	22	8	14	20	790
North Arcot	11	8	3	10	275
Tanjore	2	1	1	2	35
Madura cum Ramnad	..	..	..	..	..
Tinnevelly	96	78	18	69	596
Coimbatore	42	34	8	40	955
The Nilgiris	..	..	..	..	..
Salem	..	..	..	..	..
South Kanara	2	..	..	2	30
Malabar	1	1	..	1	55
Total ..	176	130	44	144	2,736

APPENDIX III.


[Vide answer to question No. 1477 asked by Mr. Basheer Ahmad Sayeed at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 26th February 1929, page 125 supra.]

Statement showing (a) the total income to the Government from each district for the last two years ending with 30th September 1928, under (i) registration of motor vehicles; (ii) issuing and renewing of Government permits for motor vehicles plying for hire; (iii) half-yearly inspection to motor vehicles plying for hire; (iv) issuing and renewing of driving licences including provisional licences; and (v) issuing and renewing of conductors' pass-books; and (b) the total expenditure incurred by the Government for the above period in connexion with motor vehicles and control of motor traffic in each district.

District. (1)	Income. Registration of motor vehicles. (2)	Issuing and renewing of 'G' permits. (3)		Half-yearly inspections. (4)		Issuing and renewing of driving licences. (5)		Issuing and renewing of conductors' pass-books. (6)		Expenditure. (7)		
	RS.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	P.
Ganjam ..	2,188	2,672	0	5,180	8	4,503	0	51	0	1,917	1	0
Vizagapatam ..	3,396	5,185	2	4,703	4	6,260	0	92	8	4,409	11	6
Godavari, East ..	3,168	3,989	12	9,414	0	9,001	0	285	0	6,050	0	0
Godavari, West ..	2,120	1,533	0	3,900	0	4,100	0	5	0	1,460	0	0
Kistna ..	4,623	2,870	0	5,615	0	5,894	0	100	0	2,525	0	0
Guntur ..	2,356	1,840	0	4,115	0	5,198	0	56	0	4,149	8	0
Nellore ..	1,480	2,021	0	8,287	8	4,395	0	161	8	2,209	0	0
Cuddapah ..	1,302	2,130	0	4,211	0	2,076	0	53	8	2,500	0	0
Anantapur ..	788	431	0	3,085	0	1,571	0	41	0	2,125	0	0
Bellary ..	2,096	1,697	0	5,880	0	4,029	0	62	8	6,135	0	0
Kurnool ..	760	654	0	5,171	0	2,078	0	55	0	3,840	0	0
Madras ..	37,277	16,135	0	30,700	0	66,832	12	950	8	*1,90,299	11	0
Chingleput ..	1,400	3,590	0	4,700	0	1,928	0	141	0	4,250	0	0
Chittoor ..	2,232	2,164	0	6,554	0	2,532	0	116	0	6,520	0	0
North Arcot ..	3,184	4,956	0	13,670	0	4,347	0	207	0	11,184	5	0
South Arcot ..	1,512	2,242	0	8,260	0	3,088	0	73	8	8,326	2	0
Tanjore ..	3,446	‡ 3,468	0	14,671	0	11,044	0	256	0	10,896	3	0
Trichinopoly ..	8,392	1,881	0	6,565	0	7,621	0	229	0	4,200	0	0
Madura ..	Vide col. 6	4,966	8	31,388	0	Vide col. 6		† 31,928	10	8,915	4	6

Ramnad	3,288	4,584	0	16,600	0	11,480	0	271	8	Nil.		
Tinnevelly	3,160	7,190	0	22,469	0	8,028	0	90	0	5,518	0	0
Coimbatore	7,544	4,935	8	21,838	8	13,620	0	328	8	7,477	0	0
The Nilgiris	5,348	3,000	0	6,465	8	9,524	0	18	8	4,810	13	0
Salem	3,470	6,160	0	18,459	0	6,343	0	365	0	11,501	12	0
South Kanara	3,752	3,138	0	13,300	0	4,023	0	109	0	10,352	4	0
Malabar	8,822	13,466	0	25,861	0	13,565	0	301	0	6,061	13	0
Mettur	13	106	0	..		113	0	2	0	..		
Total ..	1,17,117	1,07,004	14	3,01,062	4	2,13,193	12	36,350	10	3,27,633	8	0

* Includes cost of control and regulation of all traffic, pedestrian and vehicular.
† Includes figures in respect of items in columns (2) and (5), as separate figures are not available.
‡ From 1st April 1927 to 30th September 1928.



Appendix IV.

[Vide answer to question No. 1499 asked by Mr. C. Gopala Menon at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 26th February 1929, page 136 supra.]

District.	New number of liquor shops opened during 1927–28.			Number of liquor shops closed during 1927–28.		
	Arrack.	Toddy.	Foreign liquor.	Arrack.	Toddy.	Foreign liquor.
Ganjam	..	3	..	5	..	1
Vizagapatam	1	..	..	2	1	..
East Godavari	..	..	..	2	7	..
West Godavari	4	2	..	1	..	..
Kistna	4	..	..	2	..	..
Guntur	..	2	..	..	..	..
Nellore	..	..	..	..	..	..
Cuddapah	..	5	..	15	4	..
Anantapur	..	4	..	23	4	..
Bellary	1	8	..	6	4	..
Kurnool	..	3	..	..	1	..
Madras	..	..	..	..	..	..
Chingleput	..	..	..	3	3	
Chittoor	..	2	..	2	..	..
North Arcot	..	..	..	..	..	..
South Arcot	..	..	..	2	..	..
Tanjore	3	..	..	..	21	..
Trichinopoly	..	..	..	2	2	..
Madura	..	..	2	..	..	1
Ramnad	..	..	..	..	..	..
Tinnevelly	..	..	3	..	..	..
Coimbatore	..	21	1	..	7	..
Mettur	..	..	..	2	2	..
The Nilgiris	..	Nil	4	..	..	..
Salem	..	6	1	..	..	..
South Kanara	..	..	..	3	13	..
Malabar	..	9	..	32	6	..

26th February 1929]

APPENDIX V.

[Vide answer to question No. 1502 asked by Mr. G. Harisarvottama Rao at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 26th February 1929, page 142 supra.]

KURNOOL DISTRICT.

Statement showing the quantity of quinine supplied to each hospital and dispensary including rural dispensaries in Kurnool district between 1st June 1928 to 30th November 1928.

Name of medical institution.	Quantity of quinine supplied between 1st June 1928 to 30th November 1928. LB.	OZ.
Government Headquarter Hospital, Kurnool ...	24	0
Government Dispensary, Dhone	6	0
Do. Koilkuntla	12	0
Do. Markapur	2	0
Local Fund Dispensary, Allagadda (taluk headquarters)	6	0
Local Fund Dispensary, Atmakur	4	0
Do. Chagalamarri ...	Nil.	
Do. Cumbum	2	0
Do. Gadivemula	2	0
Do. Giddalore (taluk headquarters)	3	0
Do. Gudur	1	0
Do. Kodumur	3	0
Do. Maddikera	2	0
Do. Nandikotkur (taluk headquarters)	4	0
Municipal Hospital, Nandyal (taluk headquarters).	1	0
Municipal Branch Dispensary, Nandyal	4	0
Local Fund Dispensary, Paniem	3	8
Do. Pattikonda	5	0
Do. Pyapali	4	0
Do. Yerragondapalem ...	2	0
Rural Dispensary, Nossam	3	0
Do. Ulindakonda	2	0
Do. Veldurti	3	0
Do. Vorvakal	2	0

APPENDIX VI.

[Vide answer to question No. 1503 asked by Mr. J. A. Saldanha at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 26th February 1929, page 142 supra.]

Name of agency.	Quantity of quinine supplied.	Date of supply.
		1928.
Kollegal taluk—		
The Christian Mission, Kollegal	500 packets of 20·5 grain tablets.	7th Dec.
Range Officer, Ramapuram	..	..
Do. Kollegal	175 packets.	7th Dec.
Tahsildar, Kollegal	100 ,,	Do.
Health Inspector, Kollegal	25 ,,	Do.
Medical Officer do.	100 ,,	Do.
Do. Local Fund Dispensary, Hanur ..	50 ,,	Do.
Cuddapah taluk—		
Government Headquarter Hospital, Cuddapah	2,000 packets.	16th Nov.
Health Inspector, Cuddapah	100 ,,	22nd Nov.
Ramakrishna Samaj	50 ,,	..
Tahsildar, Cuddapah	300 ,,	21st Nov.
Deputy Tahsildar, Cuddapah	200 ,,	Do.
Range Officer, Cuddapah	66 ,,	Do.
Rayachoti taluk—		
Local Fund Dispensary, Pulivendla	300 packets.	24th Nov.
Do. Rayachoti	100 ,,	22nd Nov.
Do. Vempalli	9 ,,	24th Nov.
Health Inspector, Rayachoti	150 ,,	22nd Nov.
Tahsildar, Rayachoti	150 ,,	20th Nov.
Deputy Tahsildar, Lakkireddipalli	150 ,,	Do.
Stationary Sub-Magistrate, Rayachoti	3 ,,	Do.
Range Officer, Sanipaya	150 ,,	22nd Nov.
Kanigiri—		
Rev. G. H. Brock	300 packets.	2nd Nov.
Tahsildar	200 ,,	Do.
Medical Officer, Pamur	150 ,,	6th Nov.
Do. Kanigiri	500 ,,	Do.
Health Inspector, Kanigiri	300 ,,	Do.
Forest Ranger, Kanigiri	50 ,,	Do.
Udayagiri—		
Rev. F. W. Stait, Udayagiri	300 packets.	7th Nov.
Medical Officer, Udayagiri	100 ,,	23rd Nov.
Health Inspector, Udayagiri	2,000 ,,	7th Nov.
Tahsildar, Udayagiri	300 ,,	Do.
Forest Ranger, Udayagiri	100 ,,	23rd Nov.
Hospet—		
Mr. T. Adrusayya London Mission	50 packets.	5th Nov.
Revenue Inspector, Tornagal, through Tahsildar, Hospet	100 ,,	25th Nov.
Stationary Sub-Magistrate, Hospet	50 ,,	6th Nov.
Medical Officer, Hospet	100 ,,	14th Nov.
Do. Kamalapur	200 ,,	7th Nov.
Do. Kampli	100 ,,	18th Nov.
Revenue Inspector, Kampli	200 ,,	25th Nov.
Vaccinator, Hospet	100 ,,	Do.
Forest Ranger, Hospet	150 ,,	Do.
S. Hanumanthachar, Theosophical Society, Hospet ..	100 ,,	Do.
Revenue Inspector, Narayanadevarakeri	150 ,,	Do.
Health Inspector, Hospet	100 ,,	Do.

26th February 1929]

Name of agency.	Quantity of quinine supplied.	Date of supply. 1928.
Puttur—		
Rev. S. V. Rebello, Karopady, Vatkody P.O.	150 packets	19th Nov.
Rev. Fr. L. Lobo, Kadaba	200 ,,	Do.
Mr. Felix Huns, Headmaster, Kanyadi	50 ,,	Do.
Salvador Menezes, Headmaster, Panchama school, Ujre	50 ,,	Do.
Rev. D. H. D'Souza, Madanthar	200 ,,	Do.
Rev. M. S. Fernandez, Beltangady	50 ,,	Do.
Rev. Carvolho, Arwa	200 ,,	Do.
Rev. Th. Ritter, Basel Mission, Puttur	150 ,,	Do.
James Andrews, Beltangady	50 ,,	Do.
Rural Medical Officer, Uppinangady	200 ,,	Do.
Health Inspector, Puttur	250 ,,	Do.
Do. Beltangady	250 ,,	Do.
Medical Officer, Puttur	300 ,,	Do.
Do. Sampaje	200 ,,	Do.
Do. Beltangady	400 ,,	Do.
Do. Vittal	150 ,,	Do.
Do. Sullia	200 ,,	Do.
Do. Panja	200 ,,	Do.
Tahsildar, Puttur	400 ,,	Do.
Deputy Tahsildar, Beltangady	500 ,,	Do.
Range Officer, Beltangady	100 ,,	Do.
Do. Puttur	200 ,,	Do.
Do. Uppinangady	200 ,,	Do.
Karkal—		
Rev. Fr. J. B. D'Sa, Moodabidri	100 packets.	19th Nov.
Rev. Fr. A. P. D'Souza, Takkode	100 ,,	Do.
Civil Assistant Surgeon, Karkal	500 ,,	Do.
Health Inspector, Karkal	250 ,,	Do.
Medical Officer, Moodabidri	150 ,,	Do.
Do. Naravi	200 ,,	Do.
Do. Hebri	300 ,,	Do
Tahsildar, Karkal	400 ,,	Do.
Range Officer, Udipi	100 ,,	Do.

Ponneri—

Two thousand and one hundred treatment packets of quinine tablets were sent to the District Health Officer, Chingleput, on 15th October 1928 for distribution in Ponneri taluk. A report on the details of distribution to the respective agents is still awaited from the District Health Officer.

Koilkuntla—		
Government Dispensary, Koilkuntla	35 packets	10th Nov.
Rural Dispensary, Nossam	100 ,,	15th Nov.
Do. Owk	100 ,,	12th Nov.
Health Inspector, Koilkuntla	250 ,,	10th Nov.
Tahsildar, Koilkuntla	250 ,,	20th Nov.
Rev. P. M. Simon, S P G Mission, R Jambladinne	200 ,,	16th Nov.
Medical Officer, London Mission Hospital, Mayalur	50 ,,	17th Nov.
Deputy Tahsildar, Owk	250 ,,	15th Nov.

[26th February 1929

Appendix VII.

[Vide answer to question No. 1505 asked by Mr. A. Ranganatha Mudaliyar at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 26th February 1929, page 145 supra.]

Articles purchased.	Date of purchase.	Cost. RS.	A.	P.
Machinery	March 1928 ...	1,347	0	0
Iron materials, viz., pipes, flanges, etc.	June 1928 ...	4,557	0	0
	Total ...	5,904	0	0

Details.

One 5 B.H.P.S. type engine.
One number water tank for the above engine 18″ × 36″.
One length of 2½″ belting 25′ long.
One number Worthington type 'A' power pump 6″ × 6″ stock 3″ Sul. 3″ delivery.
One number 3″ screwed type foot valve.
Two numbers 3″ G.I. flanges with standard holes.
Two lengths of G.I. 3″ pipes 40′ long.
One number 3″ G.I. bend.
One number 9″ × 8″ C.I. pulley for 5 B.H.P.
One number bolt fastener for the belt already sent.
Two number 3″ rubber joints.
Eight numbers 2½″ × ⅝″ bolts and nuts with washers.

	RS.	A.	P.
Two number 3″ Glenfield flanges sluice valves at Rs. 33.	66	0	0
Bill No. 1630.			
200 C.I.S. and S. pipes 6″ × 9′-Owg. T. 25-10-0-16 at Rs. 8 per cwt. F.O.R., Adoni	4,081	2	3
1 C.I. 3″ blank fl. for 3″ valve at Rs. 6 each	6	0	0
1 ,, 6″ to 3″ spi. and fl. tapper at Rs. 20 each ...	20	0	0
1 ,, 6″ × 6″ × 4″ S & S tee. fl. at Rs. 30 each ...	30	0	0
3 ,, 6″ × 6″ × 3″ ,, ,, 30 ,, ...	90	0	0
2 ,, 6″ × 6″ × 3″ × 3″ fl. cross ,, 35 ,, ...	70	0	0
6 ,, 3″ blank flanges with 7 numbers rubber washers for 3″ flange joints 28 bolts and nuts for 3″ flange joints at Rs. 6 per set	36	0	0
1 ,, 4″ blank flanges with 1 number rubber washers for 4″ flange joints 4 bolts and nuts for 4″ flange joints at Rs. 7-8-0 per set	7	8	0
2 ,, 6″ S & S. 1/8 bends at Rs. 25 each	50	0	0
2 ,, 6″ ,, 1/16 ,, ,, 25 ,, ...	50	0	0
2 ,, 6″ ,, 1/32 ,, ,, 25 ,, ...	50	0	0
Total ..	[a] 4,490	10	3

[a] Is included in the sum of Rs. 5,904.